

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/536,137	03/28/2000	Daniel A. Benton	FA0881 US Na	5926
23906	7590 03/11/2003			
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY			EXAMINER	
BARLEY M	LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128		NGUYEN, CAM LINH T	
4417 LANCASTER PIKE WILMINGTON, DE 19805			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WIENINGT	511, <b>55</b> 17005		2171	

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/536,137	BENTON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Cam-Linh T. Nguyen	2171			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE MAILING DATE OI  - Extensions of time may be availafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the  - If the period for reply specified  - If NO period for reply is specified  - Failure to reply within the set or	THIS COMMUNICATION. lable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 mailing date of this communication. above is less than thirty (30) days, a repled above, the maximum statutory period extended period for reply will, by statute later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro to, cause the application to become ABANDON to date of this communication, even if timely file	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to co	ommunication(s) filed on 21	February 2003 .				
2a) ☐ This action is FIN	IAL. 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
applica	tion from the International Bu	rity documents have been recei reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receiv	_			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
'		ovisional application has been retic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12				
Attachment(s)		30				
1) Notice of References Cited 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pa 3) Information Disclosure State		5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/536,137

Art Unit: 2171

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 2. Claims 1- 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Corrigan et al (U.S. 6,522,977).
- ◆ As per claim 1, 3, 11,
  - "Gathering the VIN (vehicle identification number) and manufacturer's paint code, and manufacture date" See Fig. 1, element 10, col. 5 line 4 13. The paint code corresponds to the "OEM code numbers" which is the manufacturer's designated colors, and may be obtained from a manufacturer (col. 8 line 61 col. 9 line 10). Corrigan teaches that any additional information or vehicle specific designation of the vehicle could be used to the VIN system (col. 4 line 7 12, 39 44).

Application/Control Number: 09/536,137 Page 3

Art Unit: 2171

Therefore, the manufacturer's paint code may be obtained in step 10 in the invention.

- "Extracting from the VIN the model year and manufacturing site information" See col. 4 line 21 34, col. 8 line 60 66.
- "Searching database" See col. 8 line 60 66
- "Identifying the refinish colorcoat" See Fig. 1, col. 8 line 60 col. 9 line 64.
- ◆ As per claim 2, 4, 9-10, 12,
  - "A computer acting under a program" See col. 6 line 3 22, col. 9 line 65 67.
- ♦ As per claim 5, 7
  - "Inputting the vehicle VIN" See Fig. 1, element 10, col. 5 line 4 13. The paint code corresponds to the "OEM code numbers" which is the manufacturer's designated colors, and may be obtained from a manufacturer (col. 8 line 61 col. 9 line 10). Corrigan teaches that any additional information or vehicle specific designation of the vehicle could be used to the VIN system (col. 4 line 7 12, 39 44). Therefore, the manufacturer's paint code may be obtained in step 10 in the invention.
  - "Inputting the manufacturer's paint code" The paint code corresponds to the "OEM code numbers" which is the manufacturer's designated colors, and may be obtained from a manufacturer (col. 8 line 61 col. 9 line 10). Corrigan teaches that any additional information or vehicle specific designation such as paint formulations (col. 7 line 66 67) of the vehicle could be used to the VIN system

Application/Control Number: 09/536,137

Art Unit: 2171

(col. 4 line 7 - 12, 39 - 44). Therefore, the manufacturer's paint code may be obtained in step 10 in the invention.

- "Processing the input data" See col. 4 line 21 34, col. 8 line 60 66.
- "Executing a search for a refinish colorcoat" See col. 8 line 60 66
- "Displaying in human-readable" See col. 5 line 38 40, col. 11 line 47 50.
- ◆ As per claim 6, 8
  - "Preparing an actual refinish colorcoat" See col. 11 line 59 64.
  - "Applying the prepared refinish colorcoat" See col. 11 line 59 64.
- ♦ As per claim 13,
  - "The model year and site of manufacture are ascertained from the VIN" See col.
     4 line 21 34.

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1- 13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant argued in the Request for reconsideration filed on 08/05/2002 that "nowhere within the four corners of Corrigan et al. is there any indication as to how one would practically use Applicants' selected information (i.e., model year, assembly plant and manufacturer's paint code) to obtain a color match". Examiner disagreed.

In Corrigan reference, the paint code corresponds to the "OEM code numbers" which is the manufacturer's designated colors, and may be obtained from a manufacturer (col. 8 line 61 – col. 9 line 10). This manufacturer data can be add to

Application/Control Number: 09/536,137

Art Unit: 2171

the VIN number. Further, Corrigan teaches that any additional information or vehicle

specific designation of the vehicle could be used to the VIN system (col. 4 line 7 –

12, 39 – 44). Therefore, the manufacturer's paint code may be obtained in step 10 in

the invention.

Applicant also argued that there is no need to input physical color readings into the

claim method. However, Applicant did not specify the method does not need this

teaching or the method **only contains** those teaching that Applicant specified in the

claims. Therefore, Corrigan reference still reads on the instant application.

Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Cam-Linh T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-

305- 1951. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 am to

4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Safet Metjahic, can be reached on (703) 308- 1436. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-

3900.

Cam-Linh Nguyen Art Unit 2171 SAFET METJAHIC SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**